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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Score  Item | | | Very  insufficient | Insufficient | | Average | Good | Very good | Does not apply |
| Research topic | 1. Is the selection of the research topic appropriate? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Is the research subject original? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Introduction | 1. Was the need for research presented? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Are the research questions and objectives specific and clear? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Is the relationship between the main concept and existing research logical? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Research method | Research on tool usage | 1. Is the validity test of the tool appropriate? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Is the reliability test of the tool appropriate? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Research  Subject | 3. Is the research subject suitable for the research purpose? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Is the sampling method appropriate? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Has the rationale for sample size been stated? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Data and  analysis method | 6. Have ethical aspects been considered when collecting data? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Is the data collection method appropriate? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Is the choice of data analysis method appropriate? |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Results | 1. Are statistical values accurately presented? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Were the results consistent with the purpose of the study presented? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Discussion | 1. Is the discussion based on results? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Were the actual results presented separately from the researcher’s interpretation? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Are the discussions clearly and logically presented? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Has the relationship between the research results and previous studies been sufficiently considered? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusions and Suggestions | 1. Were the summary and conclusion appropriately described? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Does the research result contribute to practice, research, education, and policy development? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| Etc. | 1. Are the references following the journal submission guidelines? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Is the English abstract appropriate? | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| Publication availability | | | Rejection | | Review after revision | | Publish after revision | Publish | Acceptance |
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| Research problem | 1. Was the research phenomenon clearly stated in relation to nursing? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Was the significance of the research topic presented? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Are the research questions specific and the interview questions appropriate? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Does the research method correspond to the research problem? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Was a philosophical stance on the research method presented? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data collection and  analysis | 1. Were the training and preparation sufficiently described to exclude the researcher’s prejudice? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Was the data collection method appropriate (e.g., interview, observation, etc.)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Was the sampling process described in detail? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Have ethical aspects been considered when collecting data? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Are all the processes of data analysis adequately described? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Was the data collection and analysis process cyclical? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Did other researchers comment on the data analysis and confirmation by the author? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Result | 1. Were the research questions answered understandably? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Are the research results clearly stated? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discussion | 1. Is the discussion of the revealed phenomenon appropriate? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Is the applicability of the research results (nursing research, nursing education, nursing practice) discussed? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Is a direction for future research suggested? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusion | 1. Is the conclusion appropriately stated? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Etc. | 1. Do the references follow the journal submission guidelines? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Is the English abstract appropriate? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| Publication availability | | Rejection | Review after revision | | Publish after revision | Acceptance | |
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